

DRAFT – Subject to Ratification

Planning, Building & Fire CRC Work Group

Minutes – 9 June 2016

Richmond Town Hall
Thursday, 9 June 3 -5pm

Attendees:

- Di Sisely (WRSC) (co-chair)
- Andrew Morrow (DELWP)
- Roger Gribbel (WRSC)
- John Ginivan (DELWP)
- Doug McNeill (COS)
- Denis Morrissy (WRSC)
- Andy van Gemert (WRSC)
- Peter Galvin (DELWP)
- Tegan Brown (DELWP)

Apologies:

- Ian Angus (co-chair)
- Erin Letovsky (DELWP)

	Agenda Item	Detail
	ToR	ToR are standard for all CRC sub-committee working groups.
	Meeting frequency	The group can set their own meeting times. Face-to-face meeting preferred to begin with, then transition to teleconference. Meet fortnightly for first month.

	Reporting to the CRC	<p>Proposed that meeting minutes will be circulated to group by Tegan, any changes should be notified and then minutes will go up as a draft on WyeSep Connect. Minutes will be ratified at next work group meeting.</p> <p>Co-chairs report back into CRC.</p>
	Expert Panel	<p>Chaired by John Mealia. Developing voluntary guidelines to ensure that WRSC residents can design / build homes within current regulatory frameworks that are best value and most resilient. A draft form of their report (living document) will be available in the next few weeks.</p>
	APZ	<p>Clearing on Dunleavy Estate – DELWP have had initial conversation with estate trustees and representatives. They happy to be involved in further conversations.</p> <p>Clearing at a large scale for APZ will have significant impact on the landscape. Community seem to favour APZ – but WRSC will look different. To consider at Visioning Workshop.</p>
	Community Emergency Preparedness Plan	<p>To pick up at another meeting.</p> <p>Andrew: DELWP / COS / CFA have done a lot of this planning that we can bring together in future meetings.</p>
	Waste Water	<p>A lot of people not engaging in WW because it seems to technical. Need to communicate that there is a solution for every block broadly to residents. John Ginivan to talk to the ‘simple solution’ tonight at community meeting.</p>
	Retaining Walls	<p>The design and material is going to have a significant impact on the look and feel of the town.</p> <p>Doug: COS will get some information out in regards to retaining walls in the next few days.</p>
	Drainage	<p>All agencies recognise that drainage is a big issue, a lot of effort</p>

		going into drainage at the moment.
	Design Workshop (Expo)	<p>Presentation from 6 different architects on June 18th of potential design solutions for steep terrain / BAL ratings. Expert panel is feeding into this. There will be a follow up meeting in early July (JG to announce date soon).</p> <p>Expo wont circumvent the current planning regulatory framework. However, current regulations have enough flexibility to allow innovative solutions.</p> <p>Di: group can think about how we move from voluntary guidelines to an enforceable regulation at a later stage.</p>
	Roads	Roads will be replaced like-for-like. COS are investigating where a different surface may be required for heavy building traffic.
	Powerlines	Community requesting that they are underground. Motive is both risk management (bushfire) and amenity. To discuss further within group and with Powercor.
	Bunkers	Expert panel looking to give advice around the use and regulations of private bunkers. There are issues with them being inside the house.
	Building	<p>Question has come from community about a builders village. Suggest to keep the caravan park open. Accommodation costs a reality of building in WRSC.</p> <p>Potential issue around building in traffic management. COS considering keeping some of the roads one-way.</p>
	Building regulations and aesthetics	COS are conscious of material choices that fit in with neighbourhood character – there are instances where aesthetics may conflict with building to a bushfire standard.
	Neighbourhood	Workshops with experts, including Kevin Tolhurt planned for July 8/9 & 15/16. Geotech and waste water experts will also be

	Clusters	<p>in attendance.</p> <p>A consequence table is a great way to start facilitating a conversation. It will show the available APZ options, plotted against an objective (e.g. cost, impact on biodiversity, reduction in bushfire risk) can be a powerful way to describe the impacts of an action. Can compel people to ignore their interval narratives and focus on the information in front of them to make a decision.</p> <p>Clustering the community allows a more focused conversation to occur at the scale that would impact residents.</p>
	Priorities	<p>For the group to focus on in the short term: Drainage, retaining walls & roads (all bundle together well). Neighbourhood clusters.</p> <p>Not as high a priority for the group in the short term: powerlines (need to know what is going on but not action), design workshop (will happen without group input but need to be aware it is happening).</p>

Action:	By whom:	By when:	Complete?
Change Andy's details on the contact list (@icloud.com) and add John Ginivan's mobile.	Di	Next meeting	
Invite John Mealia, Kevin and Justin to the	Tegan	Next meeting	John Mealia has accepted invitation.

next meeting			
Book conference room in Geelong for 23 June 10-12 for next meeting.	Tegan	Next meeting	

Draft Subject to Ratification